I'm not robot

reCAPTCHA

Continue

I'm not robot

reCAPTCHA

Total dissolved solids calculation formula

Relations between some measurable and calculable properties of natural waters: Â, total solids, suspended solids, total dissolved solids, conductivity, turbidity and activity I. Introduction â, because we could be worried about total solids (TS), solid total dissolved (TDS), and suspended solids (SS)? Â, suspended solids can settle on streaming beds or lake funds covering aquatic organisms, eggs or larvae that prevent sufficient oxygen transfer and kill organisms. Suspended solids decrease the effectiveness of drinking water disinfection. Many pollutants to solids. Â, pollutants are therefore concentrated and transported with solids (1). In general, water with TDS 500 mg / L can cause diarrhea or constipation. The waters with high TDS TDS are also frequently difficult (ie they have a high concentration of calcium and magnesium) and can cause blocked tubes and industrial equipment (mainly boilers and hot water heaters). TDS can determine the most appropriate method for water softening since then the precipitation reduces the TDS, while the ion exchange can increase TDS .. TDS can also affect the destiny and toxicity of metals (1). The definitions of TS, TDS and SS are based on the analytical procedures used in their measurement. As with most physical separations, there are uncertainties associated with the measurement of TS, TDS and SS (see table 1) (2). Table 1: Â, definition and uncertainties in the measurement of total solids, solids total dissolved and suspended solids. Definition of the uncertainty property associated with the total solid measurement (TS) The remainder left after the evaporation of a sample and its subsequent drying at 180 oC. At this temperature all the mechanical occluded waters should be pushed. Some crystallization water can remain. The organic matter can be lost by volatilization, but not destroyed. Some CO2 losses can derive from the conversion of bicarbonates to carbonates. Â »Total dissolved solid solids (TDS) passing through a 0.45 mm pore-sized filter. The selection of a 0.45 mm pore format filter is arbitrary. The smaller particles of the filter pore size can be removed due to clogging from previously captured solids. Particles greater than 0.45 mm can pass through the filter as all the pores are not exactly 0.45 mm. Solid solids (SS) suspended that are captured on a 0.45 mm pore-sized filter. The same as those listed for TDS. Measurements of accurate gravimetric TDS are boring, expensive in terms of time and cannot be conducted in the field. Therefore, TDS estimates are also obtained using solution properties Easily measured that they have simple and empirically determined relationships with TDS. In most of the drinking water TDS consisting mainly of inorganic salts with small quantities of organic matter. So TDS can be estimated by the conductivity. The conductivity is the measure of the capacity of a solution to bring an electric current. Electricity. The capacity depends on the ions present, including their total concentration and value, and from temperature (1). TDS can be estimated by conductivity as: TDS (mg / l) = conductivity (ms / cm) k (where k is an empirical constant that can vary from 0.55 to 0.9 depending on the ions in solution and temperature). Note that these equations take a linear relationship between conductivity and TDS with an intercepted to origin. The constant, K, is the slope of the line. At higher TDS values The water structure can be altered. Even solution ions can protect or improve accusations of other ions so that the charge of an ion as experienced by another ion can differ from its true value. These interactions can cause the quantity of an element or compound that participates in a reaction, or â € â € â € â €, to differ from its real value. This phenomenon is called the effect of activities and is taken into account when balance calculations using activity coefficients. The activity coefficient is the relationship between the activity of an ion and its concentration in solution. The ion activity coefficient in solution can be estimated by ionic force using a variety of equations, such as the Debye-Huckel and Davies equations. Which of these equations is more appropriate for a given situation is a function of the ionic force of the solution (3). The Debye-Huckel equation can be used to calculate the coefficients of monovalent ion activities up to ion levels of about 0.1 M. The Debye-Huckel equation can be expressed as: where g = the activity coefficient (unitless) A = an empirical constant with a value of 0.5092 to 25 oC (MOL-1 / 2kg-1 / 2K1 / 2) Z = the ionic value (unitless), i = Empirical distance closer the equation of Davies can be expressed Like: Where g = the activity coefficient (unitless), a = an empirical constant with a value of 0.5092 to 25 oC (mol-1 / 2kg-1 / 2k1 / 2) z = ionic value (unitless), and i = Ionian force (m). The Davies equation was proved to be accurate for monovalent ion resistance ions up to about 0.7 m (3). The Ionian force is defined as:, where M = the molar concentration of Ion I, and Z = the value of Ion I. However, the identities of all the ions present in surface and terrestrial waters are generally not known. Therefore, the ionic force of these waters cannot be accurately calculated by the concentration of ions A approximation of the ion force from TDS Surface water was developed by the analysis of a variety of surface waters: ion force (M) = 2.5 x 10-5 TDS (mg / l) Â â â (4). Â A approximation of the ion force from the conductivity of surface water, ion force (M) = 1.6 x 10-5 Â Conductivity (MS), is based on the analysis of thirteen waters with widely varying compositions (5). As for TDS, accurate gravimetric measurements of SS are boring, time-consuming, and cannot be conducted in the field. Turbidity is a measure of light scattered by suspended particles. Turbidity is not a direct measurement of the SS because the dispersion of light is a function of particle size and shape and concentration (1). However, the turbidity can be measured in the field more easily and quickly than the torbidity is measured using a photoelectric detector with an angle of 90o from the light source. Such instruments are called nefelometers. II. Procedure II. A. General considerations that will determine the mass of the sample in different of the procedures below. Never touch weighed objects like finger oils can change weight. Always use insulated pliers or gloves to manage such articles. All evaporation plates used in this laboratory must be cleaned with a permanganate solution of sulphur-potassium acid, heated to 180 OC for at least eight hours and cooled in a desiccator before use. This helps to ensure a constant plate weight while measuring solids. You take subsamps and measure the volumes of suspended solids. Shake the container always well so that all solids are suspended evenly before taking a subsamp. »It will help to ensure its subpampoline. All volume measurements must be made with a cylinder of 100 ml, certified and graduated. II. B. Label Total Solids Two evaporation plates each for (1) Unknown 1, (2) Unknown 2, and (3) The natural water samples provided. Pesise the dishes to a accuracy of 0.1 mg.. Register weights in Section III . A., Data tables and calculations, of this laboratory. Carefully measure 100 ml of each unknown and sample, and quantitatively transfer the unknown or sample to one of the weighed evaporation plates. (In other words, it completely transfers the sample without spilling). Repeat this process to make a duplicate measurement for each unknown and sample. Evaporate unknowns and dry examples using a water bath to ensure that no solid is lost jokingly. Place the dishes and evaporation and residues in an oven of 180 OC for an hour. Cool the evaporation plates in a dryer and weigh the dishes and residues for a precision of 0.1 mg.. Record weights in Section III. A. Again, place the dishes and residuesÂ 180 oC oven for about 15 minutes. The dishes in a cancellation and weigh the dishes and the residue for a precision of 0.1 mg. Â, compare these weights for the previous weights. See Section III. A. For the necessary if the weight has changed by over 4% it continues to dry and weigh the evaporating dishes until the weight change is 4% or less. Calculate the total concentrations of solids for unknown 1, unknown 2, and water samples provided by the final and initial weights of the evaporating dishes as described in Section III. A. Register your answers in Section III. A. II. C. Suspended and Total dissolved Solids Label two, 0.45 mm pore size fiberglass filters, which were previously heated to 105 oC for an hour and cooled into a desiccator, and two aluminum weight boats each for (1) unknown 1, (2) unknown 2, and (3) each sample of natural water supplied. Peas filters and boats weigh aluminum at a accuracy of 0.1 mg. Record weights in Section III. B., Data tables and calculations of this laboratory. Label two evaporating dishes each for (1) unknown 1, (2) unknown 2, and (3) water samples provided. Peas the plates at a accuracy of 0.1 mg. Record the weights in Section III.C. Place a filter paper disc weighed on a clean vacuum filtration device. Rinse the filter three times with about 20 mL of deionized water each time. Continue the aspiration for an additional minute after all the water has passed apparently through the filter. Discard the rinsing water. Accurately measure 100 mL of your unknown or sample and transfer it quantitatively to the filtration apparatus. After filtering the unknown or sample rinse the filter three times with about 10 mL of deionized water each time, allowing the full drainage between washing. Continue the aspiration for another three minutes after all the water has apparently passed through the filter. Carefully remove the filter disc from the filtering apparatus and place it in its weighing boat. Dry the filter and weigh the boat for an hour at 105 oC. Cool the filter and weigh the boat in a desicator and weigh the boat at a precision of 0.1 mg. B. Once again, place the filter and weigh the boat in a 105 oC oven for about 15 minutes. Cool the filter and weigh the boat in a desiccator and weigh the boat at a accuracy of 0.1 mg. Compare this weight to the previous weight. If the weight has changed more than 4% continue to dry and weigh the sample until the weight change is 4% or less. Quantitatively transfer the filter, the water that passed through the filter, to a weighed evaporating dish. Evaporate the sample to dry using a water bath. Put the evaporating dish and residues in a 180 oC oven for an hour. Cool the evaporating plate and residues in a desiccator and weigh the dish and the residues at a accuracy of 0.1 mg. Record weight inIII. C. Continue to dry and weigh the sample until the weight change is 4% or less. Repeat steps from 1 to 7 for a duplicate measurement of each unknown and sample. Calculate suspended suspensionConcentrations of dissolved solids of unknown 1, unknown 2 and water samples provided as described in sections III. B. and III. C. Enter your answers in Sections III. B and III. D. Conductivity calibrate the conductivity meter using a solution of 0.001 m KCL following the manufacturer's instructions. The 0.001 M KCL solution should have a conductivity of 46.9 ms / cm to 25 OC.- Make sure the automatic compensation of the meter is activated if available. If the meter used does not automatically compensate for the temperature, use the following equation to do so: Â Conductivity at 25 OC = (measured conductivity) / (1+ 0.019 (T â€ °25) Â Â Â Where t = the temperature in OC where the measurement was performed. Measure the conductivity of the unknown 1 and the samples of natural water provided as a result of the manufacturer's instructions. In general, the measurement of conductivity will involve: rinse the cell with deionized water and gently dry the cell with a clean fabric, rinse the cell with a small volume of the unknown or sample, slowly immerse the cell in the unknown or example solution ensuring that the small opening in the cell side is completely immersed, touching the cell against the beak side to ensure any bubbles trapped in the cell is moved and reading the conductivity value. If the conductivity of the unknown or the sample is closer to 700 ms / cm of 150 ms / cm, recalibrate the meter using a solution 0,005 m KCL. The KCL solution should have a conductivity of 717,5 ms / cm to 25 OC. Perform duplicate conductivity measures for Unknown 1 and each water sample provided. See Section III. A. For the necessary if necessary, change the meter from the conductivity to the TDS mode and measure the TDS of SCONOSCITO 1 and the water samples provided. Record the values obtained in Section III.A. Note the K value used by your meter to estimate the TDS from conductivity. Execute duplicate TDS estimates for Unknown 1 and each water sample provided. Stimulate the TDS of SCONOSCIONS 1 and the water samples provided by the conductivity measurements carried out in steps 4 and 5 using the two K values indicated in section III. Q. Calculate the average percentage difference between TDS estimates obtained using these two K values used using these two K values indicated in Section III.A. Register your values in Section III. D. II. E. Torbidity calibrate the turbidity meter or nephelometer by following the manufacturer's instructions. Fill the sample cell at the indicated level with the unknown or sample, taking care to manage the sample cell at the top. Clean the cell with a soft and languine-free cloth to remove the pointsand fingerprints. Apply a thin film of silicone oil outside the cell and clean with a soft cloth to get a uniform film across the surface. This helps to correct for any scratches on the sample cell. Place the sample cell in the tool thusthe orientation sign on the sample cell aligns with the sign on the tool. close the cover of the sample cell. follow the production instructions for reading turbidity. register the values of the turbidity obtained by unknown 2 and the samples of natural water provided in section III.e, data tables and calculations of this laboratory. â perform duplicate measures for unknown 2 and each water sample provided. iii. data tables and calculations iii. a. Total solids record weights of your unknown dishes and evaporation volumes or samples in the following tables. calculates the percentage difference between the weights of the evaporation plate after subsequent drying steps and record the answers in the following tables. unknown 1 mg duplicate 1 2 initial weight of the evaporation plate clean mg weight of the pot evaporation and residue after 1 ° drying mg mg weight of the evaporation of the dish and the residue after 2 ° weight % weight of the evaporation weight and residues after the third drying mg mg percentage difference between 2 ° and 3 ° drying final percentage difference =. Total solids can be calculated as: calculates the total concentration of solids by unknown 1. â total â Duplicate â Duplicate â mg final weight of the plate and residue of the evaporation after the second dry mg difference per cent between 1 ° and 2 ° weight % weight of the evaporation plate and the residue after 3 ° drying mgclean evaporation plate mg mg weight of the evaporation plate and residue after 1st drying MG MG Weight of the evaporation plate and residue after 2nd drying MG Difference percentage mg between 1st and 2nd weight%% of the weight of the dish and the evaporation residue after the third drying MG mg difference per cent between 2 and 3 °% % % % final weight of the evaporating plate and residues mg Volume of unknown or sample evaporated L L Total solids mg/L mg/ III. B. Unknown Suspended Solids 1 Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Initial weight of clean filter mg mg Filter weight and residues after 1 ° drying mg Filter weight and residues after 2 ° drying mg Difference percentage between 2 ° and 3 ° drying % Final weight of filter and residue mg Sample volume calculated L Calculate concentration of suspended solids by unknown 1. Suspended solids duplicate 1 duplicate 2 mg Unknown 2 Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Initial weight of clean filter mg mg Filter weight and residues after drying mg Filter weight and residues after 2 ° drying mg Difference percentage between 1 ° and 2 ° weight % Filter weight and residue after 3 ° drying mg Difference percentage between 2 ° and 3 ° drying % Final weight drying of L residual L mg of L 1 Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 volume or sample evaporated L solids suspended mg/L mg/L river or lake The initial weight of the clean mg filter weight and L residue after drying of 1 ° and 2 ° mg of residual L % filter drying and residue after 3 ° drying of mg of difference in altitude between 2 ° and 3 ° 3% of final weight drying of L residual L mg of L 1 Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Initial weight of clean evaporation plate mg mg Weight of the evaporation plate and residues after drying of mg of weight of 1 ° and 2 ° of weight of the evaporation residue of mg dissolve after the dissolution of 3 ° mg dissolve the percentage difference between 2 ° and 3 ° drying % Final weight drying of L residual L mg of L 1 Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 dissolved solidsduplicate 2 drying mg mg Weight of the evaporation capsule and residue after the second dry mg mg difference per cent between 1 ° and 2 ° weight% of the weight of the dish and the evaporation residue after the third drying MG mg Difference percentage between 2 and third drying%% of the final weight of the evaporation plate and the remaining mg volume of unknown or sample evaporated Ll Total Solids MG / Lplica Â MG RENTY MG MG MG MG MG MG MG MG MG EVENATION MG MG MG DEVELOPMENT AND REDUCTION Volume D. Duplication Duplication 1 Duplication 2 Duplicate 2 Conductivity of SCONOSTY 1 MS MS TDS of unknown 1 (measured) MG / L MG / L recall that TDS can be estimated by conductivity using equation: TDS (mg / l) â = conductivity (ms / cm) â k, where k is a constant empirical. Note that 1 ms = 1 mmhos and that electrodes in most conductivity cells are 1 cm away. Check the manual for the specifications of your meter and cells. Calculate the TDS concentration of unknown 1 using the two K values listed below. Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 TDS of unknown 1 (Assument calculated K = 0.5) MG / L MG / L TDS of unknown 1 (Calcorate Assumenting K = 0.81) MG / L MG / L Note that K = 0.5 was derived for NACL solutions to 25 OC, while K = 0,81 was derived for a simulated water â€ œnatural â€ Calculates the average percentage difference between TDS estimates for unknown recruitment 1 taking k = 0.5 and k = 0.81 Â Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Conductivity of river or water lake MS TDS TDSÂ Calculate the average percentage difference between TDS estimates for the intake of soil water taking k = 0.5 and k = 0.81. Â Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Conductivity of river or water lake MS TDS TDSÂ III. E. Turbidity Duplicate 1 Duplicate 2 Unknown 2 Ntu Ntu River or Lake Water NTU NTU Water Ground NTU IV. Questions if your TDS Estimate Conductor What value does it take for K? Â What assumptions on samples that are analyzed are made in The selection and use of this k value? How important is the K value used in TDS calculation by conductivity in the accuracy of the TDS estimate obtained? Support your answer. TS = SS + TDS or SS = TS â€ "TDS". This is an example of a mass balance. Does the SS value calculated by this method agree with the gravimetrically measured value? Â If not, what could have caused the change? Â What value do you think is more accurate and why? Compare the estimated TDS Duplicates values for 1 unknown by conductivity. Are your estimates accurate? Â Why or why not? Compare the measured TDS Duplicates values for unknowns 1 gravimetrically. Are these your exact estimates? Â Why or why not? What are more precise, TDS values estimated by conductivity values or TDS measured gravimetrically and why? Compare TDS values for unknown 1 you have estimated from conductivity to those you have measured gravimetrically. What values do you think are more accurate and why? Compare the turbidity values measured for unknown 2 and natural water samples provided with the SS values measured gravimetrically for unknown 2 and natural water samples. Does the values seem to be related? Â You may find a useful that to answer this question. Â Why would solids be suspended or not be related to turbidity measurements? Compare SS values measured gravimetrically for unknown 2The actual value of the unknown provided by your instructor. How accurate have your measurements been? Â How could errors have been introduced during these measurements? Stimulate the Ionian force of Unknown 1 and the examples solutions provided by the respective conductivity values and TDS.Â Compare these explanations for the actual value of unknown 1 provided by your instructor. Â Propose explanations for any observed difference. Discussion of advantages and disadvantages. to estimate the ion force from (1) the ion concentration in solution, (2) the solution TDS and (3) the conductivity of the solution. Â What method do you think is the best and why? Stimulate the activity coefficient for NA + and unknown clients 1 and for Ca2 + and HCO3- in the supplied natural water samples. How significant (more or less than 10%) are the effects of water activity analysed in this exercise? Example Balance calculation with and without activity coefficients can be useful to assess the meaning of the effects of activity. The ion activity product (IAP) can be useful in this regard. V. Literature cited 1. Sawyer, c.n., g.l. McCarty and G.F. Parkin, Environmental Engineering Chemistry, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1994. 2. American Public Health Association, standard methods for water and waste water examination, Washington D.C., 1992. 3. Stumm, W. and J.J. Morgan, aquatic chemistry: an introduction that emphasizes the chemical balance in natural waters, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981. 4. D. Langmuir, aqueous environmental geochemistry, pretice hall, NJ, 1997. 5. Snoeeyink, V.L. And D. Jenkins, water chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980.